

# VU Research Portal

## Improving Solution Architecting Practices

Poort, E.R.

2012

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Poort, E. R. (2012). *Improving Solution Architecting Practices*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### E-mail address:

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Context . . . . .	2
1.2 Key Concepts . . . . .	3
1.2.1 Solution Architecture . . . . .	3
1.2.2 Non-Functional Requirements . . . . .	5
1.3 Objectives . . . . .	6
1.4 Approach . . . . .	7
1.5 Outline . . . . .	8
1.6 Publications . . . . .	10
<b>I Dealing with Non-Functional Requirements</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2 Resolving Requirement Conflicts through Non-Functional Decomposition</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	15
2.2 Motivation for Non-Functional Decomposition . . . . .	17
2.3 Model of Requirements and Architecture . . . . .	18
2.3.1 Accepted model for architectural design . . . . .	18
2.3.2 Refined requirements classification for NFD . . . . .	19
2.3.3 The nature of requirement conflicts . . . . .	21
2.3.4 Applying solution strategies . . . . .	23
2.3.5 The role of the NFD process . . . . .	25
2.4 The NFD Process . . . . .	26
2.5 Case Study: Criminal Investigation System . . . . .	28
2.5.1 Background . . . . .	28
2.5.2 Summary of requirements . . . . .	28
2.5.3 Results . . . . .	29
2.6 Case Study: Dutch Road-Pricing System . . . . .	29
2.6.1 Background . . . . .	30
2.6.2 System requirements . . . . .	30
2.7 Conclusions and Discussion . . . . .	34

## CONTENTS

---

<b>3 Dealing with Non-Functional Requirements across the Contractual Divide</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	37
3.1.1 Requirements and architecture in client/supplier situations . .	38
3.2 Real-life Issues Dealing with NFRs . . . . .	39
3.2.1 Case #1: the difficulty of communicating NFRs . . . . .	39
3.2.2 Case #2: the unexpected cost of high availability . . . . .	40
3.2.3 Case #3: the danger of ignoring unspecified NFRs . . . . .	40
3.2.4 Dilemmas for suppliers . . . . .	41
3.3 NFR Quantification as an Economic Problem . . . . .	42
3.3.1 Cost . . . . .	43
3.3.2 Value . . . . .	43
3.3.3 Balancing cost and value . . . . .	45
3.4 NFR Quantification as a Negotiation Problem . . . . .	45
3.5 Towards Solutions . . . . .	46
3.5.1 Requirements convergence planning . . . . .	46
3.5.2 Competitive dialogue . . . . .	49
3.6 Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	50
3.6.1 Related work . . . . .	51
<b>4 How Architects See Non-Functional Requirements: Beware of Modifiability</b>	<b>55</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	55
4.1.1 Conceptual model . . . . .	56
4.2 Survey Description . . . . .	57
4.2.1 Constructs . . . . .	58
4.3 Analysis of Survey Responses . . . . .	63
4.3.1 Non-functional requirements and project success . . . . .	64
4.3.2 Approaches and project success . . . . .	65
4.4 Discussion and Related Work . . . . .	67
4.4.1 Availability most business critical . . . . .	67
4.4.2 Non-functional requirements and project success . . . . .	67
4.4.3 Approaches and project success . . . . .	69
4.4.4 Threats to validity and opportunities for further research . . .	70
4.5 Conclusions . . . . .	71

---

---

## CONTENTS

---

<b>II Establishing a Solution Architecting Approach</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>5 Case Study: Successful Architecture for Short Message Service Center</b>	<b>75</b>
5.1 Introduction and Requirements . . . . .	75
5.2 Key Architectural Design Decisions . . . . .	77
5.2.1 Platform choice . . . . .	77
5.2.2 Storage strategy . . . . .	77
5.2.3 Interprocess communication . . . . .	77
5.3 Conclusions and Discussion . . . . .	78
<b>6 The Influence of CMMI on Establishing an Architecting Process</b>	<b>79</b>
6.1 Introduction . . . . .	79
6.2 Architecting Process Context and Scope . . . . .	80
6.2.1 Organizational context . . . . .	80
6.2.2 Scoping an architecting process . . . . .	80
6.3 Architecting and CMMI . . . . .	83
6.3.1 CMMI-compliant architecting process . . . . .	84
6.3.2 Architecture concepts in the CMMI . . . . .	85
6.3.3 Process areas relevant to architecting . . . . .	86
6.4 Discussion . . . . .	91
6.4.1 Generic architecting process models in literature . . . . .	91
6.4.2 CMMI Coverage of architecting processes . . . . .	96
6.5 Conclusions and Further Work . . . . .	97
<b>7 Successful Architectural Knowledge Sharing: Beware of Emotions</b>	<b>101</b>
7.1 Introduction . . . . .	101
7.2 Survey Description . . . . .	102
7.3 Analysis . . . . .	102
7.3.1 State of AK sharing practice . . . . .	103
7.3.2 AK practices in context . . . . .	108
7.3.3 Refined model of causality . . . . .	115
7.4 Discussion and Related Work . . . . .	116
7.4.1 Threats to validity . . . . .	117
7.4.2 Architectural knowledge sharing . . . . .	117
7.4.3 Motivation and emotion . . . . .	118
7.5 Conclusions . . . . .	119

---

## CONTENTS

---

<b>8 Architecturing as a Risk- and Cost Management Discipline</b>	<b>121</b>
8.1 Introduction . . . . .	121
8.2 What are Architectural Decisions About? . . . . .	123
8.2.1 Key concepts . . . . .	124
8.3 Architectural Significance in Terms of Risk and Cost . . . . .	126
8.3.1 Risk . . . . .	127
8.3.2 Cost . . . . .	131
8.4 Impact on Architecting Process . . . . .	131
8.4.1 Architecting activities . . . . .	132
8.4.2 Architecting workflow . . . . .	132
8.4.3 Architectural decisions and the flow of time . . . . .	133
8.5 Stakeholder Communication . . . . .	135
8.5.1 Examples from practicing architects . . . . .	136
8.6 Implementing the Risk- and Cost Driven View of Architecting . . . . .	137
8.7 Conclusions and Discussion . . . . .	139
8.7.1 Related work . . . . .	139
8.7.2 Frequently Asked Questions . . . . .	142
8.7.3 Conclusion . . . . .	144
<b>9 Risk- and Cost Driven Architecture: a Pragmatic Solution Architecting Approach</b>	<b>147</b>
9.1 Introduction . . . . .	147
9.2 The RCDA Approach . . . . .	148
9.2.1 RCDA practices . . . . .	148
9.2.2 RCDA principles . . . . .	150
9.2.3 Implementation . . . . .	152
9.2.4 Structure of RCDA . . . . .	152
9.3 Impact Survey . . . . .	156
9.3.1 Survey description . . . . .	156
9.3.2 Survey results . . . . .	158
9.4 Conclusions and Discussion . . . . .	166
9.4.1 Threats to validity . . . . .	168
<b>10 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>171</b>
10.1 Conclusions . . . . .	171
10.2 Contributions . . . . .	172
10.2.1 How can Non-Functional Requirements be handled to improve the success of IT solutions and the projects delivering them? (RQ-1) . . . . .	172

## CONTENTS

---

10.2.2 What is a good solution architecting approach to improve an IT service provider's success? (RQ-2) . . . . .	174
10.3 Discussion . . . . .	175
10.3.1 Future directions . . . . .	176
<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>SIKS Dissertation Series</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>187</b>